

Tahcdron Letters, Vol. 35, No. 17. pp. 2637-2640, 1994 Elsevia Science Ltd Printed in Orrat Britain OMO-4039194 \$6.OOiO.O0

0040-4039(94)EO387-D

Armed/Disarmed Effects in the Solvolysis **of Caged 1,6-Anhydro Pyranoses**

Christopher S. Burgey, Roland Vollerthun and Bert Fraser-Reid^{*}

Paul M. Gross Chemical Laboratory, Department of Chemistry, Duke University, Durham, North Carolina 27708, USA

Abstract: A systematic study of the effect of ether and ester protecting groups upon the acetolysis of several caged
1.6-anhydro sugar derivatives reveals the profound effect that these protecting groups have upon the reac such systems.

In connection with a total synthesis underway in our laboratory we have recently described the preparation of compound 1 (Table).¹ As with many internal acetals, 2.3 substituents can have an influence on hydrolytic cleavage.⁴ In the case of 1 we anticipated that there might be some difficulty because of the rigid tricyclic framework. "Armed/disarmed" principles have emerged⁵ as powerful determinants in glycosidic cleavage.6 (Scheme 1, **I+II) and** it was of interest to see whether these successes could be applied to facilitate the cleavage of structures such as III . In this manuscript we describe some of our studies relating to this issue.

We recently reported that the use of acetic anhydride and triethylsilyl trifluoromethanesulfonate (Ac2O/I'ESOTf) readily achieved acetolysis of 1,6-anhydto sugars.7 However when ketone **1 was** subjected to these conditions, we did not obtain the glycosyl acetate 2 (Table), but the diacetyl ketal 3 which was resistant to further acetolysis (vide infra). That 3 was obtained, and not 2, was immediately ascertained by the absence

 $\bar{\gamma}$

of a carbonyl resonance, and the presence of a second acetal signal at 101.4 ppm in the 13 C NMR spectrum. Additionally, J_{5.6} was unchanged in the product (for 1, 6.4 Hz; for 3, 6.2 Hz), which clearly ruled out structure 2. That disarming effects were operative was clearly apparent when the dibenzyl analog 4 was studied since it readily yielded the dioxoadamantane 5.

The armed/disarmed effect was first identified for the influence of C2 substituents upon O1 (Scheme 1).⁵ However according to our rationalization of the phenomenon,⁸ an electron-withdrawing group at C7 would also be expected to exert a disarming influence on the acetolysis by draining electron density from O1/ 06, as illustrated in IV (Scheme 1). That acetolysis of 4 was slow relative to 12 and 17, vide infra, is therefore readily understood, as is the complete resistance to hydrolysis of the pentaacetate 3.

The corresponding methylene derivatives 6 and 8 are not similarly disarmed at C7; however the former remained unaffected even at elevated temperatures where there was no evidence for the glycosyl acetate 7. By contrast the dibenzyl analog 8 reacted completely at 0° c in one hour to give the oxoadamantane 9.

Scheme 2

Reduction of ketones 1 and 4 followed by acetylation or benzylation led to the pairs of epimers lo/15 and 12/17. Compounds 10 and 12, with pseudo axial substituents at C7, reacted to give the tricyclic acetals 11 and 13, respectively, formed, presumably, by attack of the C7 oxygen on the intermediate C1 oxocarbenium ion (Scheme 2, path b). (Notably, the monoacetate 14 was obtained in substantial amounts.) However, while the armed substrate 12 reacted at 0°C in 15 min, the disarmed analog 10 required 60 h at a higher temperature (23oC).

In the case of the epimeric derivatives, 15 and 17, the pseudo equatorial C7 substituents cannot attack the anomeric center, therefore they should give bicyclic structums (Scheme 2, path a). Indeed compound 17 reacted at 0° C in 1 h to give pyranoside 18, while the tetraacetate 15 required 48 h at 23 $^{\circ}$ C to give the enol acetate 16 in 40-50% yield.

The reaction times for 15 versus 17, and 10 versus 12 are fully consistent with armed/dissrmed influences upon reactivity. However formation of the adamantane-like structures 5, 9, 11, and 13 reveals the ease with which the C7 substituent can trap the reactive intermediate, leading to products which are even more stable than the corresponding starting materials.

Compounds 15 and 17 indicated that proper orientation of the C7 substituent was one way of avoiding this problem. In seeking an additional, alternative strategy, we examined the cyclic dithioacetals 19 and 22.

After 12 h at 23^oC, a 64% yield of the thioenol ether 21 was obtained from acetolysis of the former. However acetolysis of the armed counterpart 22 occurred much more smoothly, affording 23 in less than 30 min at 0°C in 86% yield. Presumably the dithioacetals react first to give the enol thioethers, i.e. 20, which then react further with cleavage of the internal acetal yielding the observed products.

We have demonstrated that the proper combination of protecting groups on the pyranose ring and substitution on the carbocyclic ring can greatly facilitate solvolytic cleavage of caged systems.

Acknowledgments

C.S.B. is grateful to The Burroughs Wellcome Fund for a Fellowship and R.V. to the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation for a Feodor Lynen Fellowship. Support from the National Science Foundation is gratefully acknowledged. We thank our colleague Dr. B. Venkateswara Rao for performing the experiment with compound 15.

References and Notes

- 1. Alonso, R.A.; Burgey, C.S.; Rao, B.V.; Vite. G.D.; Vollerthun, R.; Zottola, M.A.; Fraser-Reid, B. J. *Am. chem.soc.* **1993.115.6666.**
- 2. **Hall, Jr., H.K.; DeBlauwe, Fr. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1975, 97, 655.**
- 3. Černý, M.; Stanék J. Adv. Carbohydr. Chem. Biochem 1977, 34, 24.
- 4. See for example, Černý, M.; Pacák, J.; Stanék, J. Collect. Czech. Chem. Commun. 1965, 30, 1151. Carlson, L.J. J. Org. Chem. 1965, 30, 3953. James, S.P.; Smith, F.; Stacey, M.; Wiggins, L.F. J. Chem. *Soc.* **1946**, 625. Jeanloz, R.W.; Schmid, D.M.; Stoffyn, P.J. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1957**, 79, 2586.
- *5. Mootoo,* D.R; Komadsson, P.; Udcdong, U.; Fraser-Reid, B. *J.Am. Chem. Sot.* 1988.110.5583.
- *6. See* for example, Konmdsson. P.; Mootoo, D.R.; McDevitt, R.E.; Fraser-Reid, B. J. Chem. Sot., Chem. **Commvn.** 1990,270. *Ratcliffe,* A.J.; Konmdsson, P.; Fraser-Reid, B. *J. Am. Chem. Sot.* 1990,112, *5665.* Veeneman, G.H.; van Boom, J.H. *Tetrahedron Len.* **1990.31, 275.** Friesen, R.W.; Danishefsky, S.J. Tetrahedron 1998.46, 103. Garegg, P.J.; Hallgren, C. *J. Carbohyak. Chem.* **1992. II, 425.** Sliedregt, J.A.J.; Zegelaar-Jaarsvel. K.; van der Marie, G.A.; van Boom, J.H. Synlen 1993, 335.
- 7. Zottola, M.; Rao, B.V.; Fraser-Reid. B. *J. Chem. Sot., Chem. Commun.* 1991,969.
- 8. Fraser-Reid, B.; Wu, Z.; Udodong, U.E.; Ottosson, H. *J. Org. Chem.* 1990, 55, 6068.
- 9. Typical experimental procedure: The anhydro sugar is dissolved in Ac20 and triethylsilyl trifluoromethanesulfonate (TESOTf) (2 q) is added at the appropriate temperature. The reaction is followed by TLC and further equivalents of TESOTf are added in the cases of 10 and 15. Upon completion, a solution of saturated sodium bicarbonate is then added and after being stirred for 30 min, the aqueous mixture is extracted three times with ethyl acetate. The organic extracts are combined and washed with saturated sodium bicarbonate solution followed by brine. The material is then recovered in the usual way.

(Received in USA 18 Ocwber 1993; *revised 8 February* 1994, *accepted 17 February* 1994)

2640